

NONEMPTINESS OF SYMMETRIC DEGENERACY LOCI

WILLIAM GRAHAM

ABSTRACT. Let V be a rank N vector bundle on a d -dimensional complex projective scheme X ; assume that V is equipped with a quadratic form with values in a line bundle L and that $S^2V^* \otimes L$ is ample. Suppose that the maximum rank of the quadratic form at any point of X is $r > 0$. The main result of this paper is that if $d > N - r$, the locus of points where the rank of the quadratic form is at most $r - 1$ is nonempty. We give some applications to subschemes of matrices, and to degeneracy loci associated to embeddings in projective space. The paper concludes with an appendix on Gysin maps. The main result of the appendix, which may be of independent interest, identifies a Gysin map with the natural map from ordinary to relative cohomology.

1. INTRODUCTION

The main result of this paper is a nonemptiness result for symmetric degeneracy loci. Before stating the result, we illustrate it with an application. Let $S_r(N)$ denote the projectivized variety of symmetric $N \times N$ complex matrices of rank at most r . Because the codimension of $S_{r-1}(N)$ in $S_r(N)$ is $N - r + 1$, there exist $N - r$ -dimensional closed subvarieties of $S_r(N)$ which do not intersect $S_{r-1}(N)$ (see [Full, Ex. 12.1.11]). In this paper we prove that there are no such X of larger dimension.

Theorem 1.1. *If X is a closed subscheme of $S_r(N)$ and X does not intersect $S_{r-1}(N)$, then $\dim X \leq N - r$.*

This theorem follows from a more general result concerning degeneracy loci of vector bundles. Let V be a vector bundle on a complete scheme Y and suppose that V is equipped with a quadratic form with values in a line bundle L . Let Y_r denote the subscheme of $y \in Y$ such that the quadratic form on the fiber V_x has rank at most r . We are interested in the dimension of a closed subvariety X of Y_r , not meeting Y_{r-1} . Replacing Y by X , we may assume that the quadratic form has rank at most r on all fibers V_x ; then we are interested in the largest possible dimension of X with X_{r-1} empty. The main theorem of the paper bounds this dimension; the example of $S_r(N)$ shows that the bound is sharp.

Mathematics Subject Classification 14N05. Partially supported by the NSF and the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $V \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle of rank N on a projective complex scheme X of dimension d . Suppose that V is equipped with a quadratic form of rank at most r with values in a line bundle L . Assume that the bundle $S^2(V^*) \otimes L$ is ample. If the quadratic form has constant rank $r > 0$, then $d \leq N - r$. Equivalently, if $d > N - r$, then the locus X_{r-1} is nonempty.*

Theorem 1.1 is an immediate consequence, since the trivial rank N bundle $S_r(N) \times \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow S_r(N)$ has a tautological quadratic form of rank at most r , with values in $\mathcal{O}_{S_r(N)}(1)$.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 were proved by Ilic and Landsberg [IL] under the hypotheses that the rank r is even and that X is nonsingular and simply connected. They asked if these hypotheses could be eliminated; this paper answers this question affirmatively. The proof uses the ideas of [IL], which are related to ideas in papers of Sommese [Som] and Lazarsfeld [Laz]. To remove the hypotheses of smoothness and even rank, we use maximal isotropic subbundles and Gysin maps. As noted by Ilic and Landsberg, Theorem 1.2 gives a stepwise proof along the lines of [Laz] of the following, which appears in [Ful1, Ex. 12.1.6], with a different proof.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $V \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle of rank N on a projective complex scheme X of dimension d . Suppose that V is equipped with a quadratic form with values in a line bundle L , and that $S^2(V^*) \otimes L$ is ample. If $\dim X \geq \binom{N-r+1}{2}$, then X_r is nonempty.*

The contents of the paper are as follows. Section 2 concerns vector bundles with quadratic forms. Besides the basic facts, the main results are Propositions 2.6 and 2.8, relating the (co)homology of quadratic bundles to the existence of maximal isotropic subbundles, and Proposition 2.10 and Corollary 2.11, which allow us to find maximal isotropic subbundles. Section 3 contains the proof of the main result, Theorem 1.2. Section 4 concerns orientability. In the even rank case this allows us to modify the proof of the main theorem to avoid using technical facts about isotropic subbundles and Gysin maps. Section 5 gives some applications to degeneracy loci associated to subvarieties of projective space. Section 6 is an appendix on Gysin maps, which may be of independent interest. The main result is Theorem 6.1, which identifies the Gysin map with the natural map from ordinary to relative homology. To prove this theorem, we define a Gysin-type map on ordinary homology, and then show that for compact spaces this agrees (under the duality isomorphism) with the Gysin map in Borel-Moore homology defined by Fulton and MacPherson [FM]. The study of duality also produces results about compatibility of the Gysin map with pullback in cohomology in the case of smooth manifolds, and compatibility of cap products; these results are probably more or less known, but I do not know of a reference. For the convenience of the reader, this appendix also includes a summary of some other known results about Gysin maps.

Conventions and notation. Schemes are of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$; all algebraic groups are assumed to be linear. If $(A, B) \subset (X, Y)$ is an inclusion of topological pairs, $u|_{(A, B)}$ denotes the pullback of $u \in H^*(X, Y)$ to $H^*(A, B)$. Principal bundles are taken in the sense of algebraic geometry (that is, assumed to be étale locally trivial), unless otherwise noted. Vector bundles are complex except that bundles appearing in tubular neighborhoods or in the context of real manifolds are real. Given a bundle $F \rightarrow X$, we will often denote a fiber by F_x and write $u_x = u|_{F_x}$ for $u \in H^*(F)$.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Robert Varley for a helpful conversation about orientability, and Angelo Vistoli for suggestions regarding Proposition 2.10.

2. VECTOR BUNDLES WITH QUADRATIC FORMS

This section contains some facts about vector bundles with a line bundle-valued quadratic form. The results we need to prove the main theorem are Proposition 2.8 and Corollary 2.11.

2.1. Basic facts. Let r be a positive integer equal to either $2n$ or $2n + 1$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ denote the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^r$; define a symmetric form on $\mathbb{C}^r$ by

$$(e_i, e_{r+1-j}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We will call this the standard form on $\mathbb{C}^r$. Let $GO(r)$ denote the conformal orthogonal group, defined as the subgroup of $GL(r, \mathbb{C})$ consisting of linear maps $A : \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$ such that $(Av, Aw) = \tau(A)(v, w)$ for all $v, w \in \mathbb{C}^r$, where $\tau(A)$ is a scalar depending only on A . Then $(\det A)^2 = \tau(A)^r$. Let $Q_0 \subset P^{r-1}$ denote the quadric of isotropic lines; this is an irreducible algebraic variety. Any maximal isotropic subspace of $\mathbb{C}^r$ has dimension n ; let $\mathcal{I}_0$ denote the Grassmannian of such subspaces. The group $GO(r)$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{I}_0$. If $r = 2n + 1$ then $\mathcal{I}_0$ is connected; if $r = 2n$ then $\mathcal{I}_0$ has 2 components (these are the two orbits of $SO(2n)$). We will say that two maximal isotropic subspaces E and F are in the same family if they are in the same component of $\mathcal{I}_0$; if $r = 2n$, this occurs iff $\dim(E \cap F) \equiv n \pmod{2}$.

- Lemma 2.1.**
- (1) *The group $GO(2n+1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^* \times SO(2n+1)$.*
 - (2) *The group $GO(2n)$ has 2 components; the identity component (resp. the other component) consists of the elements $A \in GO(2n)$ satisfying $\frac{\det A}{\tau(A)^n} = 1$ (resp. $\frac{\det A}{\tau(A)^n} = -1$).*
 - (3) *Let $P \subset GO(r)$ denote the subgroup preserving a maximal isotropic subspace $E \subset \mathbb{C}^r$. Then P is connected.*

Proof. (1) The group $GO(r)$ acts transitively on the quadric Q_0 ; let $v \in \mathbb{C}^r$ be an isotropic vector, and let $P' \subset GO(r)$ denote the stabilizer of $[v] \in Q_0$, so $Q_0 \simeq GO(r)/P'$. The space $V := v^\perp / \mathbb{C} \cdot v \simeq \mathbb{C}^{r-2}$ inherits

a nondegenerate quadratic form. The group P' acts on V and on $\mathbb{C} \cdot v$; this gives a homomorphism $P' \rightarrow GO(V) \times \mathbb{C}^*$. This map is surjective and its kernel is unipotent and connected. Since Q_0 is connected and simply connected, the long exact homotopy sequence of the fibration $GO(r) \rightarrow GO(r)/P'$ implies that

$$\pi_0(GO(r)) \simeq \pi_0(P') \simeq \pi_0(GO(r-2)). \quad (2.1)$$

Because $GO(1) = \mathbb{C}^*$ is connected, this implies that $GO(2n+1)$ is connected. More precisely, for $r = 2n + 1$, the character τ has a square root ν defined by

$$\nu(A) = \tau(A)^{-n} \det A.$$

The map $GO(2n+1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \times SO(2n+1)$ taking A to $(\nu(A), \nu(A)^{-1}A)$ is an isomorphism whose inverse takes (z, B) to zB .

(2) A direct calculation shows that $GO(2)$ has 2 components; then (2.1) implies that $GO(2n)$ does as well. The homomorphism $GO(2n) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ taking A to $\frac{\det A}{\tau(A)^n}$ is surjective, so the 2 components of $GO(2n)$ must be the inverse images of 1 and of -1 .

(3) The long exact homotopy sequence of the fibration $GO(r) \rightarrow GO(r)/P = \mathcal{I}_0$ yields

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{I}_0) \rightarrow \pi_0(P) \rightarrow \pi_0(GO(r)) \rightarrow \pi_0\mathcal{I}_0.$$

Since $GO(r)$ and $\mathcal{I}_0$ have the same number of components, the map on the right is an isomorphism; since $\pi_1(\mathcal{I}_0)$ is trivial, this implies that P is connected. $\square$

The following well-known proposition (cf. [EG1, Lemma 1]) summarizes what we need to know about the homology and cohomology of the quadric Q_0 .

Proposition 2.2. *Let r equal $2n$ or $2n+1$. Let $V = \mathbb{C}^r$, equipped with the standard quadratic form, and let $Q_0 \subset P(V)$ the quadric of isotropic lines. Let $H = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(1)) \in H^2(P(V))$ and $h = H|_{Q_0} \in H^2(Q_0)$. Let E and F be maximal isotropic subspaces, and $[P(E)]$ and $[P(F)]$ the corresponding fundamental classes in $H_{2(n-1)}(Q_0)$. Define $e, f \in H^{2(r-n-1)}(Q_0)$ by $e \cap [Q_0] = [P(E)]$ and $f \cap [Q_0] = [P(F)]$. Then $e = f$ if and only if E and F are in the same family. The cohomology $H^*(Q_0)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$ -module which vanishes in odd degrees.*

- (1) *If $r = 2n$, and E and F are in different families, then $h^{n-1} = e + f$, $he = hf$, and a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for $H^*(Q_0)$ is given by $\{1, h, \dots, h^{n-1}, e, he, \dots, h^{n-1}e\}$. Hence*

$$\text{rank } H^{2i}(Q_0) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = n-1 \\ 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq 2(n-1), i \neq n-1. \end{cases}$$

- (2) *If $r = 2n+1$, then $h^n = 2e$, and a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for $H^*(Q_0)$ is given by $\{1, h, \dots, h^{n-1}, e, he, \dots, h^{n-1}e\}$. Hence*

$$\text{rank } H^{2i}(Q_0) = 1 \text{ if } 0 \leq i \leq 2n-1.$$

□

We now consider bundles. The following lemma states that a vector bundle with a line bundle-valued quadratic form is étale locally trivial (cf. [Swa, Prop. 1.1]).

Lemma 2.3. *Let $V \rightarrow X$ be a rank r vector bundle with a nondegenerate quadratic form with values in a line bundle L . There exists an étale cover $Y \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ such that the pullback bundles π^*L and π^*V are trivial, and the pullback quadratic form on π^*V is trivial, in the sense that there exists a set $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ of global sections of π^*V which restrict to a basis of each fiber $(\pi^*V)_y$, such that $(v_i, v_{r+1-j})_y$ is 1 if $i = j$, and 0 otherwise.* □

The vector bundle $V \rightarrow X$ is associated to a $GO(r)$ principal bundle $E \rightarrow X$. This was explained in [Gra] (for r even); we will give here a slightly different explanation, which provides an explicit construction of the orientation double cover in the even rank case (see Remark 4.3). Define $E \rightarrow X$ to be the bundle of conformal frames: by definition, $E \subset V^{\oplus r}$ consists of $(v_1, \dots, v_r)$ such that

$$(v_i, v_{r+1-i}) = (v_j, v_{r+1-j}) \quad \text{all } i, j = 1, \dots, r,$$

and $(v_i, v_{r+1-j}) = 0$ for $i \neq j$. The group $GO(r)$ acts on E by

$$(v_1, \dots, v_r)A = \left(\sum a_{i1}v_i, \sum a_{i2}v_i, \dots, \sum a_{ir}v_i \right),$$

for $(v_1, \dots, v_r) \in E$ and $A = (a_{ij}) \in GO(r)$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let X be a quasi-projective scheme, and let $V \rightarrow X$ be a rank r vector bundle with a nondegenerate quadratic form with values in a line bundle L , and let $Q \subset P(V)$ denote the quadric bundle of isotropic lines. Then the bundle $E \rightarrow X$ of conformal frames is a principal $GO(r)$ -bundle, and the bundles V , $P(V)$, and Q are associated bundles to E .*

Proof. Since the property of being a principal bundle descends [MFK, p. 17], to prove that $E \rightarrow X$ is principal, we may replace X by an étale cover and therefore assume that V , L , and the quadratic form are trivial. In this case $V = X \times \mathbb{C}^r$ with the standard form, and

$$E = X \times \{\text{conformal frames in } \mathbb{C}^r\}$$

is isomorphic to the trivial bundle $X \times GO(r)$, the isomorphism taking (x, A) ($x \in X, A \in GO(r)$) to $(x, (Ae_1, \dots, Ae_r))$.

Because a quasi-projective quotient $X = E/GO(r)$ exists, by [MFK, Prop. 7.1], a quotient $E \times^{GO(r)} \mathbb{C}^r$ exists. Moreover, the map

$$E \times \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow V$$

$$\left((v_1, \dots, v_r), \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_r \end{pmatrix} \right) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^r b_i v_i$$

is $GO(r)$ -equivariant; here $GO(r)$ acts trivially on V , and on $E \times \mathbb{C}^r$ by $(e, b)A = (eA, A^{-1}b)$. Hence we obtain a map

$$E \times^{GO(r)} \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow V.$$

To verify that this map is an isomorphism, by descent it suffices to verify it when X is replaced by an étale cover on which the pullbacks of V, L , and the quadratic form are trivial; we leave this verification to the reader. The arguments for the other bundles are similar. $\square$

If Y is a closed subspace of a topological space X , a tubular neighborhood of Y in X is a neighborhood of Y in X which is isomorphic to a real vector bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow Y$. In this case we view E as a subspace of X . If Y is a closed submanifold of X , tubular neighborhoods always exist. In the situation of the previous proposition, Q and $P(V)$ are singular if the base X is, but the tubular neighborhood theorem still holds for Q in $P(V)$.

Proposition 2.5. *Under the hypotheses of Proposition 2.4, there exists a tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{E}$ of Q in $P(V)$.*

Proof. Keep the notation of Proposition 2.4. Let K denote a maximal compact subgroup of $GO(r)$. Then K and $GO(r)$ are homotopy equivalent, so the structure group of $E \rightarrow X$ reduces to K : that is, there is a (topological) principal K -bundle $E' \rightarrow X$ such that E is isomorphic to $E' \times^K GO(r)$. This implies that (topologically)

$$Q \simeq E' \times^K Q_0 \subset E' \times^K P(V_0) \simeq P(V).$$

There exists a K -invariant tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{E}_0$ of Q_0 in $P(V_0)$. Indeed, we can construct a tubular neighborhood as follows (see [Lan], Ch. IV, VII, VIII): choose a K -invariant Riemannian metric on $P(V_0)$; form the canonical spray using that metric. Let N denote the normal bundle to Q_0 in $P(V_0)$. The map $\exp : N \rightarrow P(V_0)$ is defined everywhere since $P(V_0)$ is compact, and by construction it is K -equivariant. Moreover, it takes a neighborhood of the 0-section in N isomorphically onto a neighborhood of Q_0 in $P(V_0)$. Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be an ε -neighborhood (with respect to the Riemannian metric) of the 0-section in N ; then $\mathcal{E}_0$ can be identified with its image, which is the K -invariant tubular neighborhood in $P(V_0)$. Define $\mathcal{E} = E' \times^K \mathcal{E}_0$; this is a tubular neighborhood of Q in $P(V)$. $\square$

2.2. Homology and isotropic subbundles. In this subsection, we prove some results concerning the homology of quadric bundles and the existence of isotropic subbundles.

To prove our main result we will need to show that (under certain hypotheses) we can find classes in $H^*(Q)$ which pull back to a basis of $H^*(Q_x)$, where Q_x is the fiber of $Q \rightarrow X$ over $x \in X$. This is equivalent to finding a class $e \in H^{2(r-n-1)}(Q)$ whose pullback e_x to Q_x satisfies $e_x \cap [Q_x] = [P(E_x)]$ for a maximal isotropic subspace E_x of V_x .

Proposition 2.6. *Let r equal $2n$ or $2n + 1$, and let $V \rightarrow X$ be a rank r vector bundle on a quasiprojective scheme X with a nondegenerate quadratic form with values in a line bundle L . Suppose that V has a maximal isotropic subbundle E ; let $j : P(E) \hookrightarrow Q$, $j_x : P(E_x) \hookrightarrow Q_x$, $i_x : Q_x \hookrightarrow Q$, and $k_x : P(E_x) \hookrightarrow P(E)$ denote the inclusions. Then there is a class $e \in H^{2(r-n-1)}(Q)$ such that $e_x := i_x^* e \in H^{2(r-n-1)}(Q_x)$ satisfies $e_x \cap [Q_x] = [P(E_x)] \in H_{2(n-1)}(Q_x)$. Hence the pullback $i^* : H^*(Q) \rightarrow H^*(Q_x)$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let $P \subset GO(r)$ denote the subgroup stabilizing a fixed maximal isotropic subspace E_0 of $\mathbb{C}^r$. Because V has a maximal isotropic subbundle, the structure group of V can be reduced from $GO(r)$ to P , and in fact, since P is connected, to a connected compact subgroup K of P . The corresponding topological principal K -bundle is pulled back to X by a map

$$\phi : X \rightarrow M := SO(p)/(SO(q) \times K)$$

for some p, q ; see [Ste, p. 103]. M is a smooth compact manifold which is a model for the classifying space of K . The vector bundle, quadratic form, and maximal isotropic subbundle on X are all pulled back from corresponding objects on M , so it suffices to prove the proposition for M . To simplify the notation, we will let V, E, Q , etc. denote objects on M ; x will denote a point of M , and we will use the same names as above for the various inclusion maps.

Since M is a compact manifold, so are Q and $P(E)$. Moreover, all these are orientable manifolds. Indeed, the quotient of a connected compact group by a connected closed subgroup is orientable. Let L denote a maximal compact subgroup of the identity component of $GO(r)$; we may choose L so that $L \supset K$. Let L_1 denote the stabilizer in L of a vector in E_0 , and let $K_1 = K \cap L_1$. Then $Q_0 \cong L/L_1$ and $P(E_0) \cong K/K_1$. Arguing as in Lemma 2.1 shows that K_1 and L_1 are connected. Then

$$Q = (SO(p)/SO(q)) \times^K (L/L_1) \cong (SO(p) \times L)/(SO(q) \times K \times L_1).$$

and

$$P(E) = (SO(p)/SO(q)) \times^K (K/K_1) \cong (SO(p) \times K)/(SO(q) \times K \times K_1),$$

proving the claim.

Let $[Q]$ and $[P(E)]$ be fundamental classes in $H_*(Q)$, and use Poincaré duality to define $e \in H^*(Q)$ as the unique cohomology class with $e \cap [Q] = [P(E)]$. Then, letting $x \in M$, we have in $H_{2(n-1)}(Q_x)$ the equation

$$i_x^*(e \cap [Q]) = i_x^* e \cap i_x^*[Q] = e_x \cap [Q_x].$$

Here i_x^* is used for both cohomology pullback and Gysin map on homology, and we are using properties of Gysin maps summarized in Section 6. On the other hand, again using properties from Section 6,

$$i_x^*(e \cap [Q]) = i_x^* j_* [P(E)] = j_{x*} k_x^* [P(E)] = j_{x*} [P(E_x)] = [P(E_x)].$$

(In this equation, we have abused notation and written $[P(E)]$ for the fundamental class in $H_*(P(E))$ as well as for the fundamental class in $H_*(Q)$, so $[P(E)] = j_*[P(E)]$; similarly $[P(E_x)] = j_{x*}[P(E_x)]$.) This proves the proposition. $\square$

Lemma 2.7. *Let $r = 2n + 1$, let X be a scheme, and let $V \rightarrow X$ be a rank r vector bundle with a nondegenerate quadratic form with values in a line bundle L . Let $Q \xrightarrow{j} P(V)$ denote inclusion of the quadric of isotropic lines into $P(V)$. Assume that there exists a class $e \in H^{2n}(Q)$ such that for any fiber Q_x of $Q \rightarrow X$, the pullback $e_x \in H^{2n}(Q_x)$ generates this group. Then given any $a \in H_*(Q)$, the element $4a$ is in the image of the Gysin map j^* .*

Proof. Let $\pi : P(V) \rightarrow X$ and $p : Q \rightarrow X$ denote the projections. Let $H = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(1))$ and $h = H|_Q$, $h_x = h|_{Q_x}$. Observe that h^n and $2e$ agree when restricted to Q_x , so $h^n - 2e = -\sum_{k=1}^n h^{n-k} p^* \alpha_k$, for some classes $\alpha_k \in H^{2k}(X)$ (this follows from the Leray-Hirsch theorem for cohomology). Therefore, setting $\alpha_0 = 1$, we have

$$2e = j^* \left(\sum_{k=0}^n H^{n-k} \pi^* \alpha_k \right). \quad (2.2)$$

Now, $\{1, h_x, \dots, h_x^{n-1}, e_x, \dots, h_x^{n-1} e_x\}$ is a basis for $H^*(Q_x)$. Let $\{d_0, \dots, d_{2n-1}\}$ denote the dual basis of $H_*(Q_x)$ (under the isomorphism $H_i(Q_x) \simeq \text{Hom}(H^i(Q_x), \mathbb{Z})$). The Leray-Hirsch theorem [Spa, Theorem 5.7.9] states that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : H_*(Q) &\rightarrow H_*(X) \otimes H_*(Q_x) \\ a &\mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_*(h^i \cap a) \otimes d_i + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_*(h^i e \cap a) \otimes d_{n+i} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

is an isomorphism of graded $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules. If $b \in H_*(P(V))$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(2j^*b) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_*(2h^i \cap j^*b) \otimes d_i + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_*(2h^i e \cap j^*b) \otimes d_{n+i} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \pi_* j_* j^*(2H^i \cap b) \otimes d_i + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \pi_* j_* j^* \left(\sum_{k=0}^n H^{n+i-k} \pi^* \alpha_k \cap b \right) \otimes d_{n+i} \\ &= 4 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \pi_*(H^{i+1} \cap b) \otimes d_i + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \pi_* \left(\sum_{k=0}^n H^{n+1+i-k} \pi^* \alpha_k \cap b \right) \otimes d_{n+i}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

In the above calculation, we have used (2.2), and also the fact that $j_* j^*$ is cap product with $c_1(\mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(2)) = 2H$; this holds because Q is the zero-scheme of a section of $\mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(2)$ (see Section 6). Set $\beta_q = H^q$ for $q \leq n$, and

$$\beta_q = \sum_{k=0}^n H^{q-k} \pi^* \alpha_k = H^q + \sum_{k=1}^n H^{q-k} \pi^* \alpha_k$$

for $q = n+1, \dots, 2n$. Then

$$\Phi(2j^*b) = 4 \sum_{q=1}^n \pi_*(\beta_q \cap b) \otimes d_{q-1} + 2 \sum_{q=n+1}^{2n} \pi_*(\beta_q \cap b) \otimes d_{q-1}.$$

The classes $\beta_i|_{P(V_x)}$ form a basis for $H^*(P(V_x))$. Let $a \in H_*(Q)$. The Leray-Hirsch theorem applied to $P(V)$ implies that we can choose $b \in H^*(P(V))$ such that

$$\pi_*(\beta_q \cap b) = p_*(h^{q-1} \cap a) \quad (\text{if } q \leq n)$$

and

$$\pi_*(\beta_q \cap b) = 2p_*(h^{q-1} \cap a) \quad (\text{if } n+1 \leq q \leq 2n).$$

For this choice of b , we find that $\Phi(2j^*b) = \Phi(4a)$. Hence $4a = j^*(2b) \in \text{Im } j^*$. $\square$

Proposition 2.8. *Let r equal $2n$ or $2n+1$, let X be an irreducible projective scheme of dimension d , and let $V \rightarrow X$ be a rank r vector bundle with a nondegenerate quadratic form with values in a line bundle L . Let $Q \xrightarrow{j} P(V)$ denote inclusion of the quadric of isotropic lines into $P(V)$. Let Q_x denote a fiber of $Q \rightarrow X$, and suppose that the cohomology pullback $H^*(Q) \rightarrow H^*(Q_x)$ is surjective (e.g. if V has a maximal isotropic subbundle). Then the Gysin morphism*

$$j^* : H_k(P(V)) \rightarrow H_{k-2}(Q)$$

is not surjective for $k = 2n + 2d$. Moreover, if $r = 2n + 1$ then the group $H_{2n+2d-2}(Q)/\text{Im } j^$ has nonzero 2-torsion.*

Proof. We may assume X is reduced. Fix a smooth point $x \in X$. We have a Gysin map $i_x^* : H_{*+2d}(Q) \rightarrow H_*(Q_x)$. By hypothesis, the cohomology pullback $i_x^* : H^*(Q) \rightarrow H^*(Q_x)$ is surjective. This implies that the Gysin map $i_x^* : H_*(Q) \rightarrow H_*(Q_x)$ is surjective as well. Indeed, since Q_x is smooth, any $a_x \in H_*(Q_x)$ equals $\alpha_x \cap [Q_x]$ for a (unique) $\alpha_x \in H^*(Q_x)$. Write $\alpha_x = i_x^* \alpha$, for $\alpha \in H^*(Q)$. Since Q is complete and irreducible, it has a fundamental class $[Q] \in H_*(Q)$, and $i_x^*[Q] = [Q_x]$. Hence

$$i_x^*(\alpha \cap [Q]) = i_x^* \alpha \cap i_x^*[Q] = \alpha_x \cap [Q_x] = a_x,$$

proving surjectivity of the Gysin map.

Since the cohomology pullback $H^*(P(V)) \rightarrow H^*(P(V_x))$ is surjective, the same argument implies that the Gysin map $H_{*+2d}(P(V)) \rightarrow H_*(P(V_x))$ is surjective as well.

Consider the following commutative diagram, where all maps are Gysin maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{2n+2d}(P(V)) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H_{2n+2d-2}(Q) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{2n}(P(V_x)) & \xrightarrow{j_x^*} & H_{2n-2}(Q_x). \end{array} \quad (2.5)$$

We have just shown that the vertical maps are surjective. However, the bottom map is not surjective. Indeed, both $H_{2n}(P(V_x))$ and $H_{2n-2}(Q)$ are free $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules; if $r = 2n$, then the ranks of these $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules are 1 and 2, respectively, so the bottom map cannot be surjective. If $r = 2n+1$, then both

$\mathbb{Z}$ -modules have rank 1, so we must argue differently. Let H_x, h_x , and e_x be as in the previous proposition. Now, $P(V_x) \cong P^{2n}$, and $[P(V_x)] \in H_{4n}(P(V_x))$, so $H_{2n}(P(V_x))$ is generated by $H_x^n \cap [P(V_x)]$. Also, $[Q_x] \in H_{4n-2}(Q_x)$, and $H_{2n-2}(P(Q_x))$ is generated by $e_x \cap [Q_x]$. Since

$$j_x^*(H_x^{n-1} \cap [P(V_x)]) = j_x^* H_x^{n-1} \cap j_x^*[P(V_x)] = 2e_x \cap [Q_x],$$

the bottom map is not surjective.

We have shown that in the diagram (2.5), the vertical maps are surjective but the bottom map is not. Therefore, the top map, which is the Gysin map j^* , is not surjective, which is the first assertion of the proposition. For the second assertion, assume that $r = 2n + 1$ and pick $a \in H_{2n+2d-2}(Q)$ such that $a \notin \text{Im } j^*$. Lemma 2.7 implies that $4a \in \text{Im } j^*$, so a represents a nonzero 2-torsion element of $H_{2n+2d-2}(Q)/\text{Im } j^*$. $\square$

Remark 2.9. In the even rank case, the preceding proposition remains true (with the same proof) if we use cohomology with rational coefficients. Moreover, if the bundle V is orientable, the hypothesis that the map $H^*(Q; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(Q_x; \mathbb{Q})$ be surjective follows from Proposition 4.4 below.

To produce bundles with maximal isotropic subbundles, we will need the following proposition. Sumihiro uses a version of this proposition (with a similar proof) in [Sum, p. 251]. However, in place of [Hir1, Theorem 2.6] he uses a lemma which he only proves for smooth X , and therefore he states this only for X smooth. For completeness, we have included a proof here.

Proposition 2.10. *Let X be a quasi-projective scheme, $W \rightarrow X$ a vector bundle, and Y a closed subscheme of $P(W)$; let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ denote the induced morphism. Assume that each component of Y surjects onto X and that each closed fiber of π has dimension $d \geq 0$. Then there exists a closed subscheme $Y' \hookrightarrow Y$ such that $\pi|_{Y'} : Y' \rightarrow X$ is finite, and each component of Y' surjects onto X .*

Proof. The proof is by induction on d . If $d = 0$ the result holds. Assume that the result is true for $d - 1$. By replacing W by $W \otimes L^k$, where L is an ample line bundle on X , we may assume that $\mathcal{O}_{P(W)}(1)$ is ample on $P(W)$. Then there exists $N \geq 1$ and a nonempty open subset $U \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(N))$ such that any element $s \in U$ does not vanish identically on any irreducible component of any component of $\pi^{-1}(x)$, for any closed point $x \in X$ (see [Hir1, Theorem 2.6], cf. also [Sum]). Let Y_1 denote the zero-scheme in Y of some $s \in U$. Then Y_1 satisfies the hypotheses of the proposition with d replaced by $d - 1$. By the inductive hypothesis there exists $Y' \subset Y_1$ satisfying the conclusion of the proposition; this is our desired subscheme of Y . $\square$

Corollary 2.11. *Let X be a quasiprojective scheme and $V \rightarrow X$ a vector bundle of rank r equal to $2n$ or $2n + 1$. Assume that V has a nondegenerate quadratic form with values in a line bundle L . Then there exists a finite*

surjective morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$ such that f^*V has a maximal isotropic subbundle E (necessarily of rank n).

Proof. Let Y denote the Grassmann bundle of isotropic n -planes in V , and let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ denote the projection. The pullback π^*V has a tautological maximal isotropic subbundle E_Y . There is a vector bundle $W \rightarrow X$ such that Y can be embedded in $P(W)$. (Indeed, Y is associated to a $G = GO(r)$ -principal bundle $M \rightarrow X$; that is, $Y = M \times^G Y_0$, where Y_0 is the Grassmannian over a point. We can equivariantly embed Y_0 in $P(W_0)$, where W_0 is a representation of $GO(r)$. Let $W = M \times^G W_0$; then $Y \subset P(W)$.)

By the preceding proposition, there exists a closed subvariety $X' \subset Y$ such that $f = \pi|_{X'}$ is finite and surjective. Let $E \subset f^*V$ denote the restriction of E_Y to X' ; then E is a maximal isotropic subbundle of f^*V . $\square$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Assume that the quadratic form has constant rank r , where r equals $2n$ or $2n + 1$. We may assume X is irreducible of dimension d ; we must show $d \leq N - r$. There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$$

of vector bundles on X , where K is the radical of the quadratic form, and $W = V/K$. Thus, K and W are vector bundles of ranks $N - r$ and r , respectively, and W is equipped with a nondegenerate L -valued quadratic form. By Corollary 2.11, there exists a finite surjective morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$ such that f^*W has a maximal isotropic subbundle. The pullback of an ample bundle by a finite map is ample [FL, p. 39], so replacing X by X' if necessary, we may assume that W has a maximal isotropic subbundle.

Let $\tilde{Q} \subset P(V)$ and $Q \subset P(W)$ denote the quadric bundles of isotropic lines. By [IL, Claim 1.3], $P(V) \setminus \tilde{Q}$ is affine, since $\tilde{Q}$ is the zero-scheme of a section of the ample bundle $\mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(2) \otimes \pi^*L$. Hence $P(V) \setminus \tilde{Q}$ has the homotopy type of a CW-complex of (real) dimension at most $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} P(V) = N + d - 1$ (see [GM, pp. 24-5]). The projection $P(V) \setminus \tilde{Q} \rightarrow P(W) \setminus Q$ is a bundle with fibers isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{N-r}$, so $P(V) \setminus \tilde{Q}$ and $P(W) \setminus Q$ are homotopy equivalent. Hence

$$H_j(P(W) \setminus Q) = 0 \quad \text{for } j > N + d - 1. \quad (3.1)$$

Moreover, $H_{N+d-1}(P(W) \setminus Q)$ is torsion-free.

Let $i : Q \rightarrow P(W)$ and $j : P(W) \rightarrow (P(W), P(W) \setminus Q)$ denote the inclusions. Now, Q is regularly embedded in $P(W)$ as a subscheme of complex codimension 1, and by Proposition 2.5, there exists a tubular neighborhood of Q in $P(W)$. Theorem 6.1 and Remark 6.2 imply that there exists an isomorphism θ such that the following diagram commutes, where i^* is the

Gysin map:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H_j(P(W)) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & H_j(P(W), P(W) \setminus Q) \\
 & \searrow i^* & \downarrow \theta \\
 & & H_{j-2}(Q).
 \end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

Therefore, the long exact homology sequence for the pair $(P(W), P(W) \setminus Q)$ yields a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_j(P(W) \setminus Q) \rightarrow H_j(P(W)) \xrightarrow{i^*} H_{j-2}(Q) \rightarrow H_{j-1}(P(W) \setminus Q) \rightarrow \cdots. \tag{3.3}$$

Because W has a maximal isotropic subbundle, Proposition 2.8 implies that the middle map is not surjective for $j = 2d + 2n$. Hence $H_{2d+2n-1}(P(W) \setminus Q) \neq 0$. By (3.1), this implies $2n + 2d - 1 \leq N + d - 1$, so $d \leq N - 2n$. If $r = 2n$, we obtain $d \leq N - r$, as desired. Suppose that $r = 2n + 1$. In this case we have shown that $d \leq N - r + 1$, so it is enough to show that $d \neq N - r + 1$. Proposition 2.8 implies that $H_{2n+2d-1}(P(W) \setminus Q)$ has nonzero 2-torsion, but as shown above, $H_{N+d-1}(P(W) \setminus Q)$ is torsion-free. Hence $2n + 2d - 1 \neq N + d - 1$, so $d \neq N - 2n = N - r + 1$. This completes the proof. $\square$

4. ORIENTABILITY AND AN ALTERNATIVE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In the even rank case, we can use orientability to give two variations on the proof of the main theorem. These variations are given at the end of this section. The advantage of these variations is that they rely on less: the first variation avoids the use of Corollary 2.11; the second variation avoids the use of this corollary and also of the facts about Gysin maps proved in Section 6. These proofs remain valid if the condition that X is projective is replaced by the condition that X is complete, because the quasi-projectivity hypothesis of Corollary 2.11 is no longer required.

We begin with a few facts about orientability. If the quadratic form takes values in the trivial line bundle, these facts are well-known. Because of a lack of a reference for the case where the line bundle is nontrivial, we have included proofs.

The following lemma is well-known, but because of a lack of a reference for this fact in the category of schemes, we have included a proof.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $G \supset H$ be algebraic groups and let $E \rightarrow X = E/G$ be a G -principal bundle over a quasi-projective scheme X . Then an H -principal bundle $E \rightarrow \tilde{X} = E/H$ exists, where $\tilde{X}$ is a scheme; let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ denote the projection. The structure group of the pullback bundle $\pi^*E = \tilde{X} \times_X E = E/H \times_{E/G} E$ reduces to H . More precisely,*

$$E \times^H G \cong \tilde{X} \times_X E \tag{4.1}$$

as G -principal bundles over E/H .

Proof. A quotient scheme G/H exists by [Bor, Theorem 6.8]. Let G act on $E \times G/H$ by $(e, g_1 H)g = (eg, g^{-1}g_1 H)$. The quotient $\tilde{X} := (E \times G/H)/G = E \times^G G/H$ exists by [MFK, Prop. 7.1]; this definition of $\tilde{X}$ is in [EG2]. In that paper it was stated that $E \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is an H -principal bundle; we explain this here. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E \times G & \xrightarrow{a} & E \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
 E \times G/H & \xrightarrow{r} & \tilde{X} = E \times^G G/H \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\
 E & \xrightarrow{p} & X = E/G.
 \end{array} \tag{4.2}$$

Here a is the action map; the left vertical maps, as well as p and q , are the projections; r is the quotient map; and $\pi(e) = (e, 1 \cdot H) \bmod G$. The big square is Cartesian, since $E \rightarrow X$ is a G -principal bundle; the lower square is Cartesian by construction (cf. [MFK, Prop. 7.1]). This implies that the top square is Cartesian. The horizontal maps are smooth and surjective, and the property of being a principal bundle descends [MFK, p. 17]. Thus, because $E \times G \rightarrow E \times G/H$ is an H -principal bundle, so is $E \rightarrow \tilde{X}$. This justifies writing $\tilde{X} = E/H$.

To prove (4.1), observe that the isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E \times G & \rightarrow & E \times_{E/G} E \\
 (e, g) & \mapsto & (e, eg)
 \end{array} \tag{4.3}$$

is $H \times G$ -equivariant, where $H \times G$ acts on $E \times G$ by

$$(e, g_1) \cdot (h, g) = (eh, h^{-1}g_1g)$$

and on $E \times_{E/G} E$ by

$$(e_1, e_2) \cdot (h, g) = (e_1h, e_2g).$$

The isomorphism (4.3) yields a G -equivariant isomorphism on the quotients by H :

$$E \times^H G \rightarrow E/H \times_{E/G} E = \tilde{X} \times_X E,$$

which is what we wanted. $\square$

Let $V \rightarrow X$ be a rank $2n$ vector bundle with a line bundle-valued quadratic form. We will call V orientable if the structure group of V (i.e., of the principal $GO(2n)$ -bundle corresponding to V) reduces to the identity component G of $GO(2n)$.

Applying Lemma 4.1 to $GO(2n) \supset G$ yields the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $V \rightarrow X$ be a rank $2n$ vector bundle with a nondegenerate quadratic form with values in a line bundle L . Then there exists a double cover $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that the pullback of V to $\tilde{X}$ is orientable.* $\square$

Remark 4.3. There is an alternative construction of the double cover $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. The L -valued inner product on V yields on L^{2n} -valued inner product on the line bundle $\Lambda^{2n}V$, defined by

$$(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{2n}, w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_{2n}) = \det(v_i, w_j).$$

This yields an inner product (with values in the trivial bundle) on $\Lambda^{2n}V \otimes L^{-n}$. Use the surjective homomorphism

$$GO(2n) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \quad , \quad A \mapsto \frac{\det A}{\tau(A)^n}$$

to identify $GO(2n)/G$ with $\{\pm 1\}$. Let E be the bundle of conformal frames, as in Section 2. The map

$$E \times (GO(2n)/G) \rightarrow \Lambda^{2n}V \otimes L^{-n}$$

$$((v_1, \dots, v_{2n}), \varepsilon) \mapsto \varepsilon \cdot v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{2n} \otimes \prod_{i=1}^n (v_i, v_{2n+1-i})^{-1}$$

is $GO(2n)$ -equivariant (where $GO(2n)$ acts trivially on $\Lambda^{2n}V \otimes L^{-n}$) and induces an isomorphism of

$$\tilde{X} = E \times^{GO(2n)} (GO(2n)/G)$$

with the bundle of unit vectors in the line bundle $\Lambda^{2n}V \otimes L^{-n}$. This can be proved by reducing to the case where all the bundles are trivial, as in the proof of Proposition 2.4.

The next two results follow from [Ler], using the fact that the structure group of a quadric bundle can be reduced to a compact group. For the convenience of the reader we include proofs.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $W \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle of rank r equal to $2n$ or $2n+1$ on a scheme X of dimension d . Assume that W has a nondegenerate quadratic form with values in a line bundle L , and if $r = 2n$ assume that W is orientable. Let Q denote the associated quadric bundle (so $Q \subset P(V)$). Then there exist classes in $H^*(Q; \mathbb{Q})$ which pull back to a basis for $H^*(Q_x; \mathbb{Q})$ where Q_x is any fiber of $Q \rightarrow X$.*

Proof. The quadric Q_0 is isomorphic to $G/P = K/K_1$, where G is the identity component of $GO(r)$ and P is a parabolic subgroup, K is a maximal compact subgroup of G , and $K_1 = K \cap P$. The quadric bundle Q is associated to a principal G -bundle (this uses the orientability assumption if r is even); since G and K are homotopy equivalent, the structure group of this principal G -bundle can be reduced to K . Let $F \rightarrow X$ be the principal K -bundle with $Q = F \times^K (K/K_1)$. Because K is connected, the cohomology of K/K_1 is spanned by polynomials in the Chern classes of bundles of the form $K \times^{K_1} W \rightarrow K/K_1$, where W is a representation of K [AH, Theorem 5.2]. Polynomials in the Chern classes of the corresponding bundles $F \times^K K \times^{K_1} W \rightarrow F \times^K K/K_1$ therefore define classes in $H^*(Q)$ which pull back

to classes spanning the cohomology of any fiber of $Q \rightarrow X$. The result now follows from the Leray-Hirsch theorem. $\square$

The above proposition generalizes to any partial flag bundle. Of course, for the projective bundle $P(W)$ it holds with any coefficients, since the powers of $H = c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))$ pull back to generators of the cohomology of the fibers.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $V \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle of rank $2n$ equal to $2n$ on a scheme X of dimension d . Assume that V has a nondegenerate quadratic form with values in a line bundle L , and that V is orientable. Let $b_i = \dim H_i(X; \mathbb{Q})$. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_{2d+2n-2}(Q; \mathbb{Q}) = 2b_{2d} + b_{2d-2} + \cdots + b_{2d-2n+2}$$

and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_{2d+r}(P(V); \mathbb{Q}) = b_{2d} + b_{2d-2} + \cdots + b_{2d-2n+2}.$$

Proof. The Leray-Hirsch theorem implies that as graded vector spaces, $H_*(Q; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_*(Q_0; \mathbb{Q})$, and similarly with Q replaced by $P(V)$. The result follows, using the description of $H_*(Q_0)$ given in Proposition 2.2. $\square$

We conclude this section with the two variations on the proof of the main theorem, Theorem 1.2, in the case the rank $r = 2n$ is even. In both variations, we use cohomology with rational coefficients (omitting this from the notation for simplicity). We may assume X is irreducible of dimension d . By replacing X with a double cover if necessary, we may assume the bundle W is orientable.

In the first variation, the argument is exactly the same as the original proof, with the exception of the proof that the map

$$H_j(P(W)) \xrightarrow{i^*} H_{j-2}(Q)$$

is not surjective for $j = 2d + 2n$. Because the cohomology pullback $H^*(Q) \rightarrow H^*(Q_x)$ is surjective (Proposition 4.4), Proposition 2.8 yields the desired non-surjectivity, completing the proof. Note that in this variation we have used facts about Gysin maps, but avoided Corollary 2.11.

In the second variation, we can avoid using facts about Gysin maps with the following argument. Identify a tubular neighborhood of Q in $P(W)$ with the normal bundle E ; because E is a complex vector bundle, it is orientable as a real vector bundle. Hence

$$H_j(P(W), P(W) \setminus Q) \cong H_j(E, E \setminus Q) \cong H_{j-2}(Q)$$

where the first isomorphism is by excision, and the second follows from the Thom isomorphism theorem [Spa, p. 259]. Therefore, the long exact homology sequence for the pair $(P(W), P(W) \setminus Q)$ yields a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_j(P(W) \setminus Q) \rightarrow H_j(P(W)) \rightarrow H_{j-2}(Q) \rightarrow H_{j-1}(P(W) \setminus Q) \rightarrow \cdots. \quad (4.4)$$

This is the same as the exact sequence (3.3) except that we have not proved that the middle map can be identified with a Gysin map. However, this is not necessary; all we need is to show that the middle map is not surjective for $j = 2d + 2n$. Because $b_{2d} = 1$ [Full, Lemma 19.1.1], Corollary 4.5 implies that for this value of j , the dimension of $H_j(P(W))$ is less than the dimension of $H_{j-2}(Q)$. Hence the middle map is not surjective, again completing the proof.

5. DEGENERACY LOCI ASSOCIATED TO PROJECTIVE EMBEDDINGS

5.1. Degeneracy loci and the second fundamental form. Some natural examples of vector bundles with quadratic forms arise from embeddings of varieties into projective space. We begin with a general construction. Suppose that V' and W are vector bundles on X , and suppose that V' has a quadratic form q with values in W . Let $\pi : P(W^*) \rightarrow X$ denote the projection, and let $L = \mathcal{O}_{P(W^*)}(1)$. There is a quadratic form Q on the vector bundle $V = \pi^*V'$ with values in L , defined as follows. Let $\eta \in P(W^*)$, let $x = \pi(\eta)$, and let $v_1, v_2 \in V_\eta = V'_{\pi(\eta)}$. Define

$$Q_\eta(v_1, v_2) = p_\eta(q_x(v_1, v_2));$$

Here $q_x(v_1, v_2) \in W_x = \pi^*(W)_\eta$, and $p_\eta : \pi^*(W)_\eta \rightarrow L_\eta$ is the projection.

If X is a smooth subvariety of P^N , the second fundamental form is a vector bundle map

$$\Pi : S^2TX \rightarrow NX,$$

where TX is the (holomorphic) tangent bundle of X , and $N = NX$ is the normal bundle to X in P^N . This can be viewed as a quadratic form on the tangent bundle of X , with values in the normal bundle. Let $\pi : P(N^*) \rightarrow X$ denote the projection; then the above construction yields an $L = \mathcal{O}_{P(N^*)}(1)$ -valued form on $V = \pi^*TX$. If the bundle $S^2V^* \otimes L$ is ample, then the main theorem of this paper implies nonemptiness of the corresponding degeneracy loci. The bundle NX is ample on X , so the bundle $L = \mathcal{O}_{P(N^*)}(1)$ is ample (see [Laz]). Therefore, if S^2V^* is generated by sections, then $S^2V^* \otimes L$ is ample (see [Hart]). For example, this holds if X is an abelian variety, since then TX is trivial.

5.2. Degeneracy loci associated to hypersurfaces. The main theorem of this paper implies the nonemptiness of certain degeneracy loci on hypersurfaces in projective space. In more detail, let $X \subset P^N$ be a hypersurface. We will define a quadratic form Q on the trivial bundle $X \times \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ with values in the line bundle $L = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$. We will show that the maximal rank r of this form on X is equal to $2 + \dim X^*$, where X^* is the dual variety to X (see Proposition 5.4). Given any d from 1 to $N - 1$, there exists a

hypersurface X with $\dim X^* = d$, which implies that for any value from 3 to $N + 1$ there exists X such that r takes on that value.

The main theorem of this paper implies that the locus X_{r-1} is nonempty. If $\dim X^* < \dim X = N - 1$ then X must be singular (see [Zak, p. 6]), so we need the fact that the main theorem of the paper is proved without assuming smoothness. The nonemptiness result also does not in general, follow from [Ful1, Ex. 12.1.6]: the result stated there guarantees nonemptiness of X_{r-1} only if

$$\dim X = N - 1 \geq \binom{N - 1 - \dim X^*}{2}.$$

In the remainder of this section we define the quadratic form and prove the assertion about its rank. We conclude the section with an example where the hypersurface is the dual variety to the rational normal curve in P^3 .

Suppose that W is a vector space and that F is a polynomial function. We identify S^2W^* with the space of quadratic forms on W , and define a map

$$\begin{aligned} W &\rightarrow S^2W^* \\ x &\mapsto \tilde{Q}_x, \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where $\tilde{Q}_x$ is the quadratic form defined by $\tilde{Q}_x(v, w) = (D_v D_w F)(x)$, where D denotes directional derivative. Let W_r denote the set of $x \in W$ such that $\text{rank } \tilde{Q}_x \leq r$. In analyzing the example of the rational normal curve, it will be helpful to know the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose G is an algebraic group acting linearly on W , and suppose that F is a weight vector for G (under the natural action of G on polynomial functions); that is, suppose that $g \cdot F = c(g)F$, where $c : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is a homomorphism. Then*

$$\tilde{Q}_{gx}(v, w) = c(g^{-1})\tilde{Q}_x(g^{-1}v, g^{-1}w).$$

Hence the loci W_r are G -invariant. □

We omit the proof, which is straightforward.

The quadratic form $\tilde{Q}$ may be described more concretely as follows. Let $\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_N$ be a basis of W and let $X_0, \dots, X_N$ denote the dual basis of W^* . We view the X_i as coordinates on W ; then $D_{\varepsilon_i} = \partial_{X_i}$. Identify elements of W with row vectors, via $(a_0, \dots, a_N) = \sum a_i \varepsilon_i$. A quadratic form $\tilde{Q}$ on W then corresponds to a symmetric matrix A via $\tilde{Q}(v, w) = v \cdot A \cdot w^t$. The map (5.1) defined above corresponds to the map from W to the space of symmetric matrices given by

$$x \mapsto \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial X_i \partial X_j}(x) \right).$$

Assume now that $F \in S^d(W^*)$. Let X be a subscheme of $P(W)$. We define a quadratic form Q on the trivial bundle $V = X \times W$, with values in the line bundle $L = \mathcal{O}_X(d - 2)$, as follows. Suppose $p \in P(W)$ and $\tilde{p} \in W$

lies over p . An element of L_p is a degree $d - 2$ homogeneous polynomial on the line $\mathbb{C} \cdot \tilde{p}$. If $w_1, w_2 \in W$, then we define $Q_p(w_1, w_2)$ to be the polynomial on the line $\mathbb{C} \cdot \tilde{p}$ whose value at $\tilde{p}$ is given by

$$Q_p(w_1, w_2)(\tilde{p}) = \tilde{Q}_{\tilde{p}}(w_1, w_2).$$

If we choose a basis of W as above and thereby identify W with $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$, with corresponding coordinates $X_0, \dots, X_N$, then we can simply view Q as a matrix of homogeneous polynomials of degree $d - 2$. The rank of Q_p is equal to the rank of the matrix obtained by evaluating these polynomials at any point $\tilde{p}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ lying over p .

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Proposition 5.1; it will be used in the example at the end of this section.

Corollary 5.2. *Suppose G is an algebraic group acting on W , and suppose that $F \in S^d(W^*)$ is a weight vector for G . Let X be a G -invariant subscheme of $P(W)$, let Q and V be as above. Then the degeneracy loci X_r (defined using Q) are G -invariant. $\square$*

We have the following nonemptiness result.

Proposition 5.3. *Let F be a degree d polynomial on $W \cong \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$, where $d \geq 3$. Let $X \subset P(W)$ be a closed subscheme, and let Q and V be defined as above. Suppose that the maximal rank of Q is r . If $\dim X > N + 1 - r$, then the locus X_{r-1} is nonempty.*

Proof. Since V is a trivial bundle and $d \geq 3$, the bundle $S^2(V^*) \otimes L$ is ample, so the result follows from Theorem 1.2. $\square$

The interest of this proposition is that if we take X to be the hypersurface defined by $F = 0$, then the maximal rank r of Q is related to the dimension of the dual variety X^* . Before stating the result, we recall some definitions concerning the geometry of subvarieties of projective space (cf. [GH], [Har]). Let $\pi : \mathbb{C}^{N+1} - \{0\} \rightarrow P^N$ denote the projection, let M be an n -dimensional complex submanifold of P^N , and let $\tilde{M} = \pi^{-1}(M)$. If $m \in M$, and $\tilde{m}$ is any point in $\pi^{-1}(m)$, then $T_{\tilde{m}}\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ is canonically identified with $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$. The projective tangent space $\mathbb{T}_m M$ can be defined as the $n + 1$ -dimensional subspace $T_{\tilde{m}}\tilde{M}$ of $T_{\tilde{m}}\mathbb{C}^{N+1} = \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$. Sometimes $\mathbb{T}_m M$ is viewed as a P^n in P^N .

Given a projective space $P(W) = P^N$, the dual projective space $P(W^*) \simeq P^N$ can be viewed as the set of hyperplanes in $P(W)$. Let M be a closed subvariety of $P(W)$ and M^0 the set of smooth points of M . The dual variety of M is defined to be the closure in $P(W^*)$ of the set

$$\{H \in P(W^*) \mid H \text{ is tangent to some } m \in M^0\}.$$

Proposition 5.4. *Let $X \subset P^N$ be the hypersurface defined by $\{F = 0\}$, where F is irreducible of degree $d \geq 3$. Let*

$$Q = \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial X_i \partial X_j} \right)_{i,j=0}^N,$$

and let r be the rank of Q_p for a generic smooth point $p \in X$ (that is, the rank of the matrix obtained by evaluating the polynomials at $\tilde{p} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ lying over p). Then

$$r = \dim X^* + 2.$$

We will prove the proposition by relating Q to the second fundamental form of the smooth locus X^0 of X . Because X has codimension 1 in P^N , the normal bundle to X^0 is a line bundle, and therefore Π is a quadratic form on TX^0 with values in a line bundle. By [GH, p. 396], the dimension of X^* equals the rank of Π_p at a generic point p of X^0 . Proposition 5.4 is therefore an immediate consequence of the following.

Proposition 5.5. *Let X and Q be as in Proposition 5.4, and let p be a smooth point of X . Then*

$$\text{rank } Q_p = \text{rank } \Pi_p + 2.$$

Proof. We can choose coordinates $X_0, \dots, X_N$ so that $p = [1, 0, \dots, 0]$ and so that $\mathbb{T}_p X$ is the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ defined by $X_N = 0$. Let $x_i = X_i/X_0$ be the corresponding coordinate system on the open set $\mathbb{C}^N \subset P^N$ defined by $X_0 \neq 0$. Then $x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}$ restrict to a holomorphic coordinate system on X near p . Write $z_i = x_i|_X$. Then $x_N|_X$ can be viewed as a function $x_N(z) = x_N(z_1, \dots, z_{N-1})$. This function vanishes to second order at $z = 0$, so

$$x_N(z) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{N-1} q_{ij} z_i z_j + (\text{higher order terms}),$$

where $q_{ij} = q_{ji}$ (see [GH, p. 370]). Moreover, the rank of Π_p equals the rank of the matrix (q_{ij}) (cf. [GH, p. 370]).

Let $\tilde{p} = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$. Recall that $\mathbb{T}_p X = T_{\tilde{p}} \tilde{X}$, where $\tilde{X}$ is the subset of $\mathbb{C}^{N+1} - \{0\}$ lying above X . Because $\tilde{X}$ is defined by the equation $F(X_0, \dots, X_N) = 0$, it follows (cf. [Har, p. 182]) that

$$\mathbb{T}_p X = \ker(dF_{\tilde{p}}) = \ker \left(\sum_{i=0}^N \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_i}(\tilde{p}) dX_i \right).$$

On the other hand, $\mathbb{T}_p X$ is identified with the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ defined by $X_N = 0$. Hence $\frac{\partial F}{\partial X_i}(\tilde{p})$ is 0 for $i < N$, and is nonzero for $i = N$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} F(X_0, \dots, X_N) &= cX_0^{d-1}X_N + X_0^{d-2}(g(X_1, \dots, X_{N-1}) + X_N L(X_1, \dots, X_N)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \geq 3} X_0^{d-1} h_i(X_1, \dots, X_N). \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Here c is a nonzero constant; by rescaling F we will assume $c = 1$. Also, g, L , and h_i are homogeneous polynomials of degrees 2, 1, and i , respectively. Write $L(X_1, \dots, X_N) = \sum l_i X_i$, where the l_i are constants. Let B denote the row vector $(l_1, \dots, l_{N-1})$, let 0_{N-1} denote the zero row vector of length $N - 1$, and let

$$A = \left(\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial X_i \partial X_j} \right)_{i,j=1}^{N-1}.$$

The matrix $Q_{\tilde{p}}$ can then be written in block form as

$$Q_{\tilde{p}} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial X_i \partial X_j}(\tilde{p}) \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0_{N-1} & d-1 \\ 0_{N-1}^t & A & B^t \\ d-1 & B & 2l_N \end{bmatrix}.$$

This implies that the rank of $Q_{\tilde{p}}$ is equal to $2 + \text{rank } A$.

To complete the proof it suffices to show that the matrix (q_{ij}) is equal to $-\frac{1}{2}A$. For this, observe that $X \cap \mathbb{C}^N$ is defined by the equation $f(x_1, \dots, x_N) = 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_N) &= F(1, x_1, \dots, x_N) \\ &= x_N + (g(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}) + x_N L(x_1, \dots, x_N)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \geq 3} h_i(x_1, \dots, x_N). \end{aligned}$$

Restricting to X , and recalling that $z_i = x_i|_X$, we obtain

$$x_N(1 + L(x_1, \dots, x_N)) = -g(z_1, \dots, z_{N-1}) - \sum_{i \geq 3} h_i(z_1, \dots, z_{N-1}, x_N).$$

This implies that

$$x_N|_X = -g(z_1, \dots, z_{N-1}) + (\text{higher order terms}).$$

Therefore $g(z_1, \dots, z_{N-1}) = -\sum q_{ij} z_i z_j$, and the result follows. $\square$

Example 5.6. We work out the example where X is the dual variety to the rational normal curve in P^3 . In this example, X is a singular hypersurface in P^3 , and the maximal rank of the quadratic form Q is $r = \dim X^* + 2 = 3$. We will determine the locus X_2 . It will turn out that $X_2 = X_1$ and that this coincides with the singular locus of X , which is of dimension 1.

This problem is naturally invariant under the group $G = SL_2$ and we want to set things up to take advantage of this invariance. Let T denote the diagonal matrices in G . We identify $\mathbb{C}^2$ as column vectors on which G acts by matrix multiplication; let $\{v_0, v_1\}$ denote the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Let $W^* = S^3 \mathbb{C}^2$, and use the basis

$$w_0 = v_0^3, \quad w_1 = v_0^2 v_1, \quad w_2 = v_0 v_1^2, \quad w_3 = v_1^3.$$

Using this basis, identify W^* with $\mathbb{C}^4$; then G acts on W^* , yielding a homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow GL_4$. Let $Y \subset P(W^*)$ denote the image of the Veronese map

$$\begin{aligned} P^1 &\rightarrow P(W^*) = P^3 \\ [v] &\mapsto [v^3]; \end{aligned}$$

Y is the rational normal curve in $P(W^*)$.

Let W denote the dual vector space to W^* , and let $\{q_i\} \subset W$ denote the dual basis to $\{w_i\}$. Then G acts on W , yielding $\psi : G \rightarrow GL_4$. For $g \in G$, we have $\psi(g) = \phi(g^{-1})^t$. We identify $P(W)$ with the set of hyperplanes in $P(W^*)$; let $X = Y^* \subset P(W)$ be the dual variety to Y . The dual variety to a curve of genus g and degree d is a hypersurface of degree $2g - 2 + 2d$ [Kle, p. 361]. Hence (as can also be seen directly) X is a hypersurface of degree 4.

View the w_i as coordinates on W . By direct calculation using the Lie algebra action, one can show that there is a unique (up to scaling) G -invariant homogeneous degree 4 polynomial in the w_i , given by

$$F = w_0^2 w_3^2 - 6w_0 w_1 w_2 w_3 + 4w_0 w_2^3 + 4w_1^3 w_3 - 3w_1^2 w_2^2.$$

Therefore X is the hypersurface defined by $F = 0$. The maximal rank of the quadratic form Q is 3, and the locus X_2 is nonempty. We determine this locus using the G -action. The group G has only 2 orbits on X . These are the orbits of the points $[q_i]$. Indeed, direct calculation shows that the orbit $G \cdot [q_1] = G \cdot [q_2]$ is open in X , while the orbit $G \cdot [q_0] = G \cdot [q_3]$ is 1-dimensional. To see that these are the only G -orbits, observe that G has no fixed points on $P(W)$ (since W is an irreducible representation of G) and hence G has no fixed points on X . If Z is any G -orbit closure, then G contains a T -fixed point by [Bor, Theorem 10.4]. Since the only T -fixed points are the $[q_i]$, $Z \supset G \cdot [q_i]$. If $Z \supset G \cdot [q_1]$ then $Z = X$. Otherwise, $\dim Z = 1$ and $Z \supset G \cdot [q_0]$. Since Z is a union of G -orbits and there are no zero-dimensional orbits, we conclude $Z = G \cdot [q_0]$.

Direct calculation shows that the rank of $Q_{[q_i]}$ is 3 if $i = 0$ or 3, and 1 if $i = 1$ or 2. Therefore $X_3 = X$, and $X_2 = X_1 = G \cdot [q_0]$. In fact, X_1 coincides with the singular locus of X .

6. APPENDIX: GYSIN MAPS

6.1. Introduction. The main purpose of this section is to prove a result about Gysin maps (Theorem 6.1) which is used in the proof of the main theorem of the paper. The Gysin maps in this theorem are those defined in [FM] in Borel-Moore homology. We prove Theorem 6.1 by defining a Gysin map in ordinary homology, and showing that for compact spaces, this definition agrees with the definition of [FM] (Theorem 6.4). We need these results for spaces which are not assumed to be manifolds; this complicates the proofs. In this section we also prove that for compact manifolds, if we identify homology and cohomology by duality, then the Gysin map in homology agrees up to sign with the pullback in cohomology (Corollary 6.6). Because it follows easily from our methods, we include a result relating cap products in ordinary homology to cap products in Borel-Moore homology (Proposition 6.8). For the convenience of the reader, the section also includes a brief account of the other properties of Gysin maps which we use in the paper; these properties are mostly taken from [Ful1] and [FM].

Throughout this section, we will be concerned with a topological space X and a closed subspace Y . We will assume throughout that X and Y are Euclidean neighborhood retracts (ENRs): that is, they can be embedded in $\mathbb{R}^n$ as retracts of some neighborhoods in $\mathbb{R}^n$. This property is satisfied for locally compact and locally contractible subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ (see [Dol, Prop. IV.8.12]), in particular, for smooth manifolds, or algebraic sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (which are triangulable by [Hir2]). For our purposes, the key property of ENRs is that if $B \subset A$ are ENRs, then B is a neighborhood retract in A [Dol, Cor. IV.8.7]. This property simplifies the definition of the cap product, and is needed to define the map $i^!$ below.

6.2. Main results. Let $\bar{H}_k(X)$ denote the Borel-Moore homology of X in degree k ; if X is embedded as a closed subspace of a smooth oriented n -manifold M , then $\bar{H}_k(X)$ can be defined as $H^{n-k}(M, M - X)$. As shown in [Ful2, p. 217], this is independent of the choice of embedding; sometimes $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ is taken in the definition. If X is compact, $\bar{H}_k(X)$ can be identified, using duality, with the ordinary homology $H_k(X)$. If Y is a closed subspace of X , there is a cap product

$$H^r(X, X - Y) \otimes \bar{H}_k(X) \rightarrow \bar{H}_{k-r}(Y). \quad (6.1)$$

We will recall the definition of this cap product below. The cap product is compatible with inclusions of closed subspaces: that is, if $i : Z \hookrightarrow Y$ is an inclusion of closed subspaces, $\alpha \in H^r(X, X - Z)$, and $x \in \bar{H}_k(X)$, then

$$j_*(\alpha \cap x) = \alpha|_{(X, X-Y)} \cap x. \quad (6.2)$$

This follows from [FM, (A₁₂), p. 20].

Suppose that $i : Y \rightarrow X$ is an inclusion of a closed subspace of topological spaces, and suppose that $\mu \in H^r(X, X - Y)$. Fulton and MacPherson [FM] define a Gysin map $i^* : \bar{H}_k(X) \rightarrow \bar{H}_{k-r}(Y)$, by $i^*(x) := \mu \cap x$. If Y and X are schemes and i is a regular embedding of codimension d , then [Ful1, Section 19.2] defines a particular element $\mu \in H^{2d}(X, X - Y)$, called an orientation class. If Y is an oriented submanifold of an oriented manifold X with normal bundle E of real rank r , identify $H^r(X, X - Y)$ with $H^r(E, E - Y)$; then μ can be taken to be a Thom class of E . If X and Y are smooth schemes, then with appropriate orientations, these two definitions of μ agree (see Remark 6.2 below). For regular embeddings of schemes or embeddings of smooth manifolds, we will assume without comment that μ is given by these definitions.

In this paper we need six basic facts about Gysin maps.

- (1) Compatibility with cohomology pullback: If $\alpha \in H^s(X)$ and $x \in \bar{H}_k(X)$, then $i^*(\alpha \cap x) = (-1)^{rs} i^* \alpha \cap i^* x \in \bar{H}_{k-r}(Y)$.
- (2) Functoriality: Given regular embeddings of schemes

$$Z \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{j} X,$$

we have $(ji)^* = i^* j^*$.

- (3) Chern class: If $i : Y \rightarrow X$ is a regular embedding of schemes, of codimension d , such that Y is the zero-scheme of a section s of a rank d vector bundle E on X , then i_*i^* is cap product with $c_d(E)$.
- (4) Consider a fiber square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{g'} & Y \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ X' & \xrightarrow{g} & X, \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are inclusions of closed subspaces, and the horizontal maps are proper. Given $\mu \in H^r(X, X - Y)$, $\mu' = g^*\mu \in H^r(X', X' - Y')$, let f^* and f'^* be the Gysin maps defined using μ and μ' , respectively. Then

$$g'_*f'^* = f^*g_*.$$

- (5) Fundamental class: Let $i : Y \rightarrow X$ be a regular embedding of schemes, or an embedding of an oriented closed submanifold in an oriented compact manifold, and let $[X] \in \bar{H}_*(X)$ and $[Y] \in \bar{H}_*(Y)$ denote the fundamental classes. Then $i^*[X] = [Y]$ (in the manifold case, with appropriate choices of orientations).

The sixth property we state as a theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $i : Y \hookrightarrow X$ be an inclusion of compact spaces. Suppose that Y has a tubular neighborhood $\pi : E \rightarrow Y$, where E is an orientable real vector bundle of rank r . Let $\mu \in H^r(E, E - Y) \simeq H^r(X, X - Y)$ be a Thom class of E . Then there exists an isomorphism $\theta : H_k(X, X - Y) \rightarrow H_{k-r}(Y)$ such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_k(X) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & H_k(X, X - Y) \\ & \searrow i^* & \downarrow \theta \\ & & H_{k-r}(Y). \end{array} \tag{6.3}$$

Here $j : X \rightarrow (X, X - Y)$ is the inclusion, and i^* is the Gysin map defined using μ .

Remark 6.2. If $Y \hookrightarrow X$ is a regular embedding of varieties, and Y has an oriented tubular neighborhood E in X , then the Thom class of E (up to sign) is the class of [Full1, Section 19.2]. Indeed, let μ and μ' be the Thom class of E and the class of [Full1, Section 9.2], respectively, and let i_μ^* and $i_{\mu'}^*$ be the corresponding Gysin maps. By the Thom isomorphism theorem, μ generates $H^r(X, X - Y) \simeq H^r(E, E - Y) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $\mu' = k\mu$ for some integer k . The definition of the Gysin map (recalled below) implies that $i_{\mu'}^* = ki_\mu^*$. On the other hand, by property (5), $i_{\mu'}^*[X] = [Y]$, and this is

a generator of $\bar{H}^{2d}(Y) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ [Ful1, Lemma 19.1.1]. Therefore $i_\mu^*[X] = \frac{1}{k}[Y]$; since this is an integral cohomology class, $k = \pm 1$, as desired. Since changing the orientation of E changes the sign of μ , we can choose the orientation so that $\mu = \mu'$.

Before considering Theorem 6.1, we briefly discuss the other properties of Gysin maps, which are mostly contained in [Ful1] and [FM]. Property (1) follows from associativity of the bivariant product [FM, (A1), p. 19], together with skew-commutativity of the product (cf. [FM, p. 22]). Property (2) follows from associativity of the bivariant product and [Ful1, Lemma 19.2]. Property (3) holds because in this case $\mu := s^*\mu_E \in H^{2d(X, X-Y)}$, where $\mu_E \in H^{2d}(E, E_0)$ is the Thom class of E (E_0 is the complement of the 0-section). Then

$$i_*i^*(x) = i_*(\mu \cap x) = \mu|_X \cap x = c_{2d}(E) \cap x.$$

Here the third equality holds because $\mu|_X = c_{2d}(E)$; this follows by [MS, p. 98], using the fact that the Euler class of E equals its top Chern class [MS, p. 158]. Property (4) follows from [FM, p. 26]. Property (5) holds for regular embeddings because the Gysin map in cohomology is compatible with the Gysin map for Chow groups [Ful1, Theorem 19.2], and the analogous property is true for Chow groups [Ful1, Ex. 6.2.1]. For compact manifolds, it follows by Corollary 6.7 below.

The main goal of this section is a proof of the sixth property, Theorem 6.1. Our strategy for proving Theorem 6.1 will be to define a map $i^! : H_k(X) \rightarrow H_{k-r}(Y)$. We will prove that if X (and hence also Y) are compact then, after identifying ordinary and Borel-Moore homology, $i^!$ coincides with i^* up to sign. Theorem 6.1 will be an easy consequence of the definition of $i^!$. Because of this, one might simply try to use $i^!$ and avoid all mention of the definition of [FM]; however, property (5) (about fundamental classes) is difficult to verify directly from this definition unless X and Y are smooth.

In order to compare i^* with $i^!$ we will need to use the duality isomorphism. We will use the formulation in [Spa, Ch. 6], which we briefly recall. If M is an orientable n -manifold, with diagonal $\Delta_M \subset M \times M$, then $H^n(M \times M, M \times M - \Delta_M) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$; we will view an orientation of M as a choice of a generator U of this cohomology group. If (A, B) is a topological pair in M , then the restriction of U to $(A, B) \times (M - B, M - A)$ gives $U|_{(A, B) \times (M - B, M - A)} \in H^n((A, B) \times (M - B, M - A))$. There are maps

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \gamma_U : H_q(M - B, M - A) \rightarrow H^{n-q}(A, B) \\ \gamma' &= \gamma'_U : H_q(A, B) \rightarrow H^{n-q}(M - B, M - A), \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

defined by slant products:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(w) &= [U|_{(A, B) \times (M - B, M - A)}] / w; \\ \gamma'(w') &= w \backslash [U|_{(A, B) \times (M - B, M - A)}]. \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

Each of these maps features in a formulation of the duality theorem; Spanier considers γ in more detail, but γ' has naturality properties similar to γ . In

particular, γ' is compatible with inclusions of topological pairs in M , and, up to sign, with connecting homomorphisms in long exact sequences. These properties are proved in the same way as the corresponding properties for γ , which are stated in [Spa]. If A and B are compact and homologically locally connected, then γ' is an isomorphism. This is [Spa, Lemma 6.10.14] in case B is empty; the general case follows using the naturality properties of γ' (cf. [Spa, Theorem 6.10.17]).

We will need the following preliminary result.

Lemma 6.3. *Let M be an oriented n -manifold, with corresponding generator $U \in H^n(M \times M, M \times M - \Delta_M)$. Let Z be a compact subset of M and $v \in H^r(M, M - Z)$. Then*

$$U \cup (1 \times v) = U \cup (v \times 1) \in H^{n+r}(M \times M, M \times M - \Delta_Z).$$

Proof. This result is [Spa, Lemma 6.3.11] in the case $Z = M$. The proof of the lemma here is essentially the same, with one minor difference. The manifold $M \times M$ is orientable. If T is the homeomorphism of $M \times M = M^2$ switching the factors, there is an induced automorphism $T \times T$ of $(M^2 \times M^2, M^2 \times M^2 - \Delta_{M^2})$. If $U_{M \times M} \in H^{2n}(M^2 \times M^2, M^2 \times M^2 - \Delta_{M^2})$ is a generator, we need the fact that

$$(T \times T)^*(U_{M \times M}) = (-1)^n U_{M \times M}. \quad (6.6)$$

Because we are not assuming M is compact, we need to argue differently than in [Spa]. We deduce (6.6) as follows. There is an obvious homeomorphism

$$\Phi : (M^2 \times M^2, M^2 \times M^2 - \Delta_{M^2}) \rightarrow (M \times M, M \times M - \Delta_M)^2.$$

Then $\Phi^*(U \times U) = \pm U_{M \times M}$, and standard properties of the cross product imply that $(\Phi^*)^{-1} \circ (T \times T)^* \circ \Phi^*(U \times U) = (-1)^n U \times U$. This implies (6.6). The remainder of the proof is essentially the same as in [Spa]; we omit the details. $\square$

The cap product (6.1) is defined as follows. Assume that X is a closed subspace of an oriented n -manifold M . Let $\alpha \in H^r(X, X - Y)$. By shrinking M if necessary, we can assume that there is a neighborhood (M, V) of $(X, X - Y)$ in M such that $V \cap X = X - Y$ and such that there exists $\tilde{\alpha} \in H^r(M, V)$ with $\tilde{\alpha}|_{(X, X - Y)} = \alpha$. (For example, we can assume that there is a retraction $\rho : M \rightarrow X$, let $V = \rho^{-1}(X - Y)$, and $\tilde{\alpha} = \rho^* \alpha$.) If $x \in \bar{H}_k(X) := H^{n-k}(M, M - X)$, then $\alpha \cap x$ is defined to be the cup product $\tilde{\alpha} \cup x \in H^{n-k+r}(M, M - Y) = \bar{H}_{k-r}(X)$. This definition is independent of choices, so it agrees with the direct limit definition of [FM, 3.1.7].

Given $\mu \in H^r(X, X - Y)$, the Gysin map $i^* : \bar{H}_k(X) \rightarrow \bar{H}_{k-r}(Y)$ was defined by $i^*(x) = \mu \cap x$. Observe that if X and Y are compact, then using the duality isomorphism γ' to identify ordinary and Borel-Moore homology, we obtain i^* on ordinary homology as the composition

$$H_k(X) \xrightarrow{\gamma'} H^{n-k}(M, M - X) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu} \cup} H^{n-k+r}(M, M - Y) \xrightarrow{(\gamma')^{-1}} H_{k-r}(Y). \quad (6.7)$$

We now define the map $i^!$. Let E be a set containing Y in X such that there is a retraction $\pi : E \rightarrow Y$. Let $N \subset E$ be such that $X - E \subset \text{int}(X - N)$. (Such E and N exist; for example, we can take E to be an open neighborhood retracting onto Y , and $N = Y$). Excision implies that the inclusion $l : (E, E - N) \rightarrow (X, X - N)$ induces isomorphisms on homology and cohomology [ES, p. 268]. Let $j : X \rightarrow (X, X - N)$ denote the inclusion. Define $i^! : H_k(X) \rightarrow H_{k-r}(Y)$ as the composition

$$H_k(X) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_k(X, X - N) \xrightarrow{(l_*)^{-1}} H_k(E, E - N) \xrightarrow{\mu|_{(E, E - N) \cap}} H_{k-r}(E) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H_{k-r}(Y). \quad (6.8)$$

Standard properties of the cap product imply that this is independent of the choice of E and N .

Theorem 6.4. *Let $i : Y \hookrightarrow X$ be an inclusion of compact spaces. Suppose that given any open neighborhood N of Y in X , there exists a closed neighborhood $\tilde{Y}$ of Y such that $\tilde{Y} \subset N$ and such that $\tilde{Y}$ is a deformation retract of Y . Let $\mu \in H^*(X, X - Y)$, and let i^* and $i^!$ denote the maps $H_k(X) \rightarrow H_{k-r}(Y)$ defined by (6.7) and (6.8), respectively. Then $i^* = i^!$.*

Remark 6.5. If Y is compact and has a tubular neighborhood in X , then Y will have neighborhoods $\tilde{Y}$ as above. In particular, this holds if Y is a closed submanifold of X . Such neighborhoods will also exist if the pair (X, Y) is triangulable (i.e. X can be triangulated so that Y is the space of a subcomplex); see [ES, II, 9]. If X is an algebraic set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and Y is a closed algebraic subset, then (X, Y) is a triangulable pair [Hir2].

Proof of Theorem 6.4. Embed X as a closed subspace of an orientable n -manifold M ; use this embedding to define i^* , keeping the notation of the beginning of the section. Since X is an ENR we can shrink M if necessary so that there is a retraction $\rho : M \rightarrow X$. We then take $V = M - \rho^{-1}(Y)$, and $\tilde{\mu} = \rho^* \mu$.

Our hypotheses imply that there exist subsets $E \supset N \supset \tilde{Y} \supset Y$ of X , with the following properties:

(i) There is a retraction $\pi : E \rightarrow Y$. Also, N is open, E and $\tilde{Y}$ are closed, and Y is a deformation retract of $\tilde{Y}$.

(ii) $\overline{X - E} \subset \text{int}(X - N)$, and $\overline{E - \tilde{Y}} \subset \text{int}(E - Y)$.

These properties imply:

(iii) The inclusions $(E, E - N) \rightarrow (X, X - N)$ and $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y} - Y) \rightarrow (E, E - Y)$ induce isomorphisms on homology and cohomology. The Kunneth formula in homology and the universal coefficient theorem imply that the same holds if we take the product of either of these inclusions with the identity map of a topological pair (A, B) .

(iv) The inclusion

$$(M - (E - N), M - (E - N) - \tilde{Y}) \rightarrow (M - (E - N), M - (E - N) - Y)$$

induces isomorphisms on homology and cohomology. (Indeed, $E - N$ is closed in X , hence in M . Therefore $M - (E - N)$ is an oriented manifold

and we can apply duality. Under the duality isomorphism, the cohomology pullback coincides with the homology map $H_*(Y) \rightarrow H_*(\tilde{Y})$. This is an isomorphism because $\tilde{Y}$ is a deformation retract of Y .) As in (iii), this remains true if we take the product of this inclusion with the identity map of a topological pair (A, B) .

After these preliminaries, we prove the theorem. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 H_k(X) & \longrightarrow & H_k(X, X - N) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & H_k(E, E - N) & \xrightarrow{\mu|_{(E, E - N) \cap}} & H_{k-r}(E) \\
 \downarrow \gamma' \simeq & & \downarrow \gamma' & & \downarrow \gamma' & & \downarrow \simeq \pi^* \\
 & & & & & & H_{k-r}(Y) \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \simeq \gamma' \\
 H^{n-k}(M, M - X) & \longrightarrow & H^{n-k}(M'', M - X) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & H^{n-k}(M', M - E) & \xrightarrow{\hat{\mu} \cup} & H^{n-k+r}(M', M' - Y).
 \end{array} \tag{6.9}$$

Here $M' = M - (E - N)$, $V' = V - (E - N) = M' - \rho^{-1}(Y)$, and $M'' = M - (X - N)$. The first map in each row is induced by inclusion. The second map in each row is the inverse of the map induced by inclusion (these maps are isomorphisms, by excision). Finally, $\hat{\mu} = \tilde{\mu}|_{(M', V')}$.

In this diagram, there are two paths along the outside from $H_k(X)$ to $H_{k-r}(Y)$ (in the lower path, we traverse the last arrow in the wrong direction). The lower path gives i^* ; the upper path gives $i^!$. Therefore, it suffices to show that this diagram commutes. The first two squares commute by naturality of γ' , so we must show that the third square commutes. Let $w \in H_k(E, E - N)$. We compute the two compositions to $H^{n-k+r}(M', M' - Y)$, applied to w . In these computations, we use properties of slant products from [Spa]. The lower composition yields $w \backslash \alpha$, and the upper yields $w \backslash \beta$, where α and β are elements of $H^{n+r}((E, E - N) \times (M', M' - Y))$ defined by

$$\alpha = U|_{(E, E - N) \times (M', M - E)} \cup (1 \times \tilde{\mu}|_{(M', V')})$$

and

$$\beta = (\pi \times 1)^*(U|_{Y \times (M', M' - Y)}) \cup (\tilde{\mu}|_{(E, E - N)} \times 1).$$

It suffices to show that $\alpha = \beta$. Observe that there is an inclusion

$$(E, E - N) \times (M', M' - Y) \hookrightarrow (M \times M, M \times M - \Delta_{\rho^{-1}(Y)}).$$

Standard properties of cup products imply that

$$\alpha = (U \cup (1 \times \tilde{\mu}))|_{(E, E - N) \times (M', M' - Y)}.$$

In view of Lemma 6.3, it suffices to show that

$$\beta = (U \cup (\tilde{\mu} \times 1))|_{(E, E - N) \times (M', M' - Y)}. \tag{6.10}$$

To show this, we use three intermediate classes. First, to show (6.10) it suffices to show

$$\beta_1 = (U \cup (\tilde{\mu} \times 1))|_{(E, E-Y) \times (M', M'-Y)}, \quad (6.11)$$

where β_1 is defined by the same formula as β , except that $\tilde{\mu}|_{(E, E-N)}$ is replaced by $\tilde{\mu}|_{(E, E-Y)}$. The reason is that we can restrict (6.11) to obtain (6.10). Property (iii) implies that (6.11) is equivalent to

$$\beta_2 = (U \cup (\tilde{\mu} \times 1))|_{(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}-Y) \times (M', M'-Y)}, \quad (6.12)$$

where by definition

$$\beta_2 := (\pi|_{\tilde{Y}} \times 1)^*(U|_{Y \times (M', M'-Y)}) \cup (\tilde{\mu}|_{(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}-Y)} \times 1).$$

Finally, property (iv) implies that (6.12) is equivalent to

$$\beta_3 = (U \cup (\tilde{\mu} \times 1))|_{(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}-Y) \times (M', M'-\tilde{Y})}, \quad (6.13)$$

where by definition

$$\beta_3 := (\pi|_{\tilde{Y}} \times 1)^*(U|_{Y \times (M', M'-\tilde{Y})}) \cup (\tilde{\mu}|_{(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}-Y)} \times 1).$$

But

$$(\pi|_{\tilde{Y}} \times 1)^*(U|_{Y \times (M', M'-\tilde{Y})}) = U|_{\tilde{Y} \times (M', M'-\tilde{Y})},$$

since applying $(\tilde{i} \times 1)^*$ to both sides (where $\tilde{i} : Y \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ is the inclusion) gives the same result, and $(\tilde{i} \times 1)^*$ and $(\pi|_{\tilde{Y}} \times 1)^*$ are inverses. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_3 &= U|_{\tilde{Y} \times (M', M'-\tilde{Y})} \cup (\tilde{\mu}|_{(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}-Y)} \times 1) \\ &= (U \cup (\tilde{\mu} \times 1))|_{(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}-Y) \times (M', M'-\tilde{Y})}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

where the last equality is by standard properties of cup products. This proves (6.13), and with it, the theorem. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 6.1. In the definition (6.8) of $i^!$, take E to be a tubular neighborhood of Y , and $N = Y$. The composition $\pi_* \circ (\mu \cap)$ is an isomorphism, by the Thom isomorphism theorem [Spa, p. 259]. Since $i^! = i^*$, we can take $\theta = \pi_* \circ (\mu \cap) \circ (l_*)^{-1}$. $\square$

6.3. Complements. In this subsection we give proofs of several related results about Gysin maps. The first shows that for an inclusion of oriented compact manifolds, the Gysin map on homology can be identified up to sign with the pullback in cohomology.

Proposition 6.6. *Suppose X and Y are compact orientable manifolds of dimensions d and $d - r$ respectively, and assume $i : Y \hookrightarrow X$ embeds Y as a closed submanifold of X with normal bundle E . Let U be an orientation of X , with corresponding duality map γ' ; let $[X] \in H_d(X)$ be the fundamental class with $\gamma'([X]) = 1 \in H^0(X)$. Let $[Y] \in H_{d-r}(Y)$ be a fundamental class, and orient E so that the Thom class $\mu \in H^r(X, X - Y) \simeq H^r(E, E -$*

Y) equals $\gamma'([Y])$. Then the following diagram commutes up to a sign of $(-1)^{d(q+1)}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(X) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^q(Y) \\ \cap[X] \downarrow & & \downarrow \cap[Y] \\ H_{d-q}(X) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H_{d-q-r}(Y). \end{array} \quad (6.15)$$

Here the lower map is the Gysin map defined using μ .

Proof. Consider the larger diagram obtained by gluing the diagram above to the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{d-q}(X) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H_{d-q-r}(Y) \\ \gamma' \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma' \\ H^q(X) & \xrightarrow{\mu \cup} & H^{q+r}(X, X-Y). \end{array} \quad (6.16)$$

The map i^* is defined so that the bottom square commutes. Observe that the composition $H^q(X) \rightarrow H^q(X)$ on the left side of the large diagram is multiplication by $(-1)^{d(q+1)}$; this is proved as in [Spa, p. 305], keeping track of the signs involved. There are two compositions along the outside of the large diagram yielding maps

$$H^q(X) \rightarrow H^{q+r}(X, X-Y).$$

The lower composition is

$$\alpha \mapsto (-1)^{d(q+1)} \mu \cup \alpha.$$

The upper composition is

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\mapsto \gamma'(i^* \alpha \cap [Y]) \\ &= (i^* \alpha \cap [Y]) \setminus (U|_{Y \times (X, X-Y)}) \\ &= [Y] \setminus ((U|_{Y \times (X, X-Y)} \cup (i^* \alpha \times 1))) \\ &= [Y] \setminus (U \cup (\alpha \times 1))|_{Y \times (X, X-Y)} \\ &= [Y] \setminus (U \cup (1 \times \alpha))|_{Y \times (X, X-Y)} \\ &= ([Y] \setminus (U|_{Y \times (X, X-Y)})) \cup \alpha \\ &= \gamma'([Y]) \cup \alpha = \mu \cup \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

proving the proposition. $\square$

Corollary 6.7. *Let $i : Y \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion of a compact oriented submanifold into a compact oriented manifold. Assume that orientations, fundamental classes, and μ are as in the previous proposition. Then $i^*[X] = (-1)^d[Y]$.*

Proof. This follows from the preceding proposition, since in cohomology, $i^*(1) = 1$. $\square$

Finally, because it is an easy consequence of Lemma 6.3, we include the following proposition, which concerns compatibility of cap products in the case $Y = X$.

Proposition 6.8. *Let X be a compact subset of the an n -manifold M with orientation U , and let $\alpha \in H^r(X)$. Under the duality isomorphism $\gamma' : H_k(X) \rightarrow H^{n-k}(M, M - X) = \bar{H}_k(X)$, the cap products with α in ordinary and Borel-Moore homology agree up to a sign of $(-1)^{r(n-k)}$. In particular, if r is even, then they agree exactly.*

Proof. Shrinking M if necessary, we may assume there exists $\tilde{\alpha} \in H^r(M)$ with $\tilde{\alpha}|_X = \alpha$. We must show that, for $x \in H_k(X)$,

$$\gamma'(\alpha \cap x) = (-1)^{r(n-k)} \tilde{\alpha} \cup \gamma'(x).$$

We have (using properties of slant products from [Spa, p. 351])

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'(\alpha \cap x) &= (\alpha \cap x) \backslash [U|_{X \times (M, M-X)}] \\ &= x \backslash [U|_{X \times (M, M-X)} \cup (\alpha \times 1)] \\ &= x \backslash [U \cup (\tilde{\alpha} \times 1)]|_{X \times (M, M-X)} \\ &= x \backslash [U \cup (1 \times \tilde{\alpha})]|_{X \times (M, M-X)} \\ &= (x \backslash [U|_{X \times (M, M-X)}]) \cup \tilde{\alpha} \\ &= \gamma'(x) \cup \tilde{\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

giving the desired result. $\square$

Note that the sign could be eliminated in the previous proposition by redefining the cap product in Borel-Moore homology to be $\alpha \cap x = x \cup \tilde{\alpha}$.

REFERENCES

- [AH] M. F. Atiyah and F. Hirzebruch, *Vector bundles and homogeneous spaces*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. III, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1961, pp. 7–38.
- [Bor] Armand Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, second ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [Dol] A. Dold, *Lectures on algebraic topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 200.
- [EG1] Dan Edidin and William Graham, *Characteristic classes and quadric bundles*, Duke Math. J. **78** (1995), no. 2, 277–299.
- [EG2] ———, *Characteristic classes in the Chow ring*, J. Algebraic Geom. **6** (1997), 431–443.
- [ES] Samuel Eilenberg and Norman Steenrod, *Foundations of algebraic topology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1952.
- [Ful1] William Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [Ful2] ———, *Young tableaux*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 35, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997, With applications to representation theory and geometry.
- [FL] William Fulton and Robert Lazarsfeld, *Positive polynomials for ample vector bundles*, Ann. of Math. (2) **118** (1983), 35–60.
- [FM] William Fulton and Robert MacPherson, *Categorical framework for the study of singular spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (1981), no. 243, vi+165.

- [GM] Mark Goresky and Robert MacPherson, *Stratified Morse theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [Gra] William Graham, *The class of the diagonal in flag bundles*, J. Differential Geom. **45** (1997), 471–487.
- [GH] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris, *Algebraic geometry and local differential geometry*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **12** (1979), 355–452.
- [Har] Joe Harris, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 133, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992, A first course.
- [Hart] Robin Hartshorne, *Ample vector bundles*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1966), no. 29, 63–94.
- [Hir1] Heisuke Hironaka, *Smoothing of algebraic cycles of small dimensions*, Amer. J. Math. **90** (1968), 1–54.
- [Hir2] ———, *Triangulations of algebraic sets*, Algebraic geometry (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. 29, Humboldt State Univ., Arcata, Calif., 1974), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1975, pp. 165–185.
- [IL] Bo Ilic and J. M. Landsberg, *On symmetric degeneracy loci, spaces of symmetric matrices of constant rank and dual varieties*, Math. Ann. **314** (1999), 159–174.
- [Kle] Steven L. Kleiman, *The enumerative theory of singularities*, Real and complex singularities (Proc. Ninth Nordic Summer School/NAVF Sympos. Math., Oslo, 1976), Sijthoff and Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn, 1977, pp. 297–396.
- [Lan] Serge Lang, *Fundamentals of differential geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 191, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [Laz] Robert Lazarsfeld, *Some applications of the theory of positive vector bundles*, Complete intersections (Acireale, 1983), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1092, Springer, Berlin, 1984, pp. 29–61.
- [Ler] Jean Leray, *Sur l'homologie des groupes de Lie, des espaces homogènes et des espaces fibrés principaux*, Colloque de topologie (espace fibrés), Bruxelles, 1950, Georges Thone, Liège, 1951, pp. 101–115.
- [MS] John W. Milnor and James D. Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1974, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 76.
- [MFK] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan, *Geometric invariant theory*, third ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [Som] Andrew John Sommese, *Submanifolds of Abelian varieties*, Math. Ann. **233** (1978), no. 3, 229–256.
- [Spa] Edwin H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1966.
- [Ste] Norman Steenrod, *The Topology of Fibre Bundles*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 14, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1951.
- [Sum] Hideyasu Sumihiro, *A theorem on splitting of algebraic vector bundles and its applications*, Hiroshima Math. J. **12** (1982), no. 2, 435–452.
- [Swa] Richard G. Swan, *K-theory of quadric hypersurfaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **122** (1985), 113–153.
- [Zak] F. L. Zak, *Tangents and secants of algebraic varieties*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 127, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993, Translated from the Russian manuscript by the author.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, BOYD GRADUATE STUDIES RESEARCH CENTER, ATHENS, GA 30602

E-mail address: wag@math.uga.edu